## The Standardized Program Evaluation Protocol (SPEPTM):

SPEP ID: 32-T1

Name of Program and Service: Community Solutions, Inc. - Multisystemic Therapy (MST)

Service Score Results: Baseline

Cohort Total: 27

Selected Timeframe: Jul. 1, 2012 - Jul. 1, 2013 Date(s) of Interview(s): Aug. 21, 2013 Lead County & SPEP Team Representatives: <u>Jeff Gregro, Berks Co.</u> & Lisa Freese, EPISCenter Person Preparing Report: Lisa Freese **Description of Service:** This should include a **brief** overview of the service within the context of the program, the location and if community based or residential. Indicate the type of youth referred, how the service is delivered, the purpose of service and any other relevant information to help the reader understand the SPEP service type classification. (350 character limit) Multisystemic therapy is an intensive in-home therapy program currently delivered by Community Solutions Inc. in Berks County. The service focuses on helping delinquent youth, male or female, address problems in their homes and with their families. MST is one of the Blueprints for Violence Prevention Model Programs. It focuses on a youth's entire surroundings such as family, school, peers, and neighborhood. It is delivered by specifically trained therapists to youth who are moderate to high risk to reoffend or who exhibit a high need in family circumstances on the YLS. The average range of treatment is 60 hours over a 4 month period and the therapists are available to the family 24 hours a day/ 7 days a week. The four characteristics of a service found to be the most strongly related to reducing recidivism: 1. SPEPTM Service Type: Family Counseling Based on the meta-analysis, is there a qualifying supplemental service? No If so, what is the Service type? There is no qualifying supplemental service Total Points Possible for this Service Type: 20 Was the supplemental service provided? n/a Total Points Earned: 20 Total Points Possible: \_35\_ 2. Quality of Service: Research has shown that programs that deliver service with high quality are more likely to have a positive impact on recidivism reduction. Monitoring of quality is defined by existence of written protocol, staff training and supervision, and how drift from service delivery is addressed. Total Points Earned: 20\_\_\_\_ Total Points Possible: \_20\_

3.	Amount of Service: Score was derived from examination of weeks and hours each youth in the cohort received the service. The amount of service is measured by the target amounts of service for the SPEP service categorization. Each SPEP service type has varying amounts of duration and dosage. Youth should receive the targeted amounts to have the greatest impact on recidivism reduction.  Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: 6  Points received for Dosage or Number of Hours: 6
	Total Points Earned:12 Total Points Possible: _20
4.	Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculating the total % of youth that score above low risk, and the total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend based on the results of the YLS.  25 youth in the cohort are Moderate, High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 10 points  8 youth in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Level for a total of 10 points  Total Points Earned: 20 Total Points Possible: 25
	Basic SPEP <sup>TM</sup> Score: 72 total points awarded out of 100 points. Compares service to any other type of SPEP therapeutic service. (eg: individual counseling compared to cognitive behavioral therapy, social skills training, mentoring, etc.)  Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the service is having a positive impact on recidivism reduction.
	<b>Program Optimization Percentage:</b> 85%_ This percentage compares the service to the same service types found in the research. (eg: individual counseling compared to all other individual counseling services included in the research)
	The SPEP and Performance Improvement
	The intended use of the SPEP is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service feedback report, and these recommendations are the focus of the performance improvement plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the local juvenile court. The recommendations for this service included in the feedback report are:
	increasing the number of youth achieving 30 target hours of service through earlier outreach and better engagement during the erral process.

- 2. Utilize the enhanced engagement practices to increase duration of the service. Three youth in the cohort fell just days short of duration. If they would have achieved duration another 2 points would have been added.
- 3. Screening referrals to MST to better target high risk youth and eliminate low risk youth referred to the program.

The Standa	ardized Program	<b>Evaluation</b> 1	Proto	col (SPEP <sup>TM</sup> ):	
Service Score I	Results: Reassessment 1		SPEP	TM ID and Time: 0032-T0	2
Agency Name:	Community Solutions, Inc. (CS	SI)			
Program Name:	Community Solutions, Inc. (CS	SI)			
Service Name:	Multisystemic Therapy (MST)				
Cohort Total:	33				
	cted Cohort: June 01, 2017 - M	lay 31, 2018			
Referral County(s)	: Berks				
Date(s) of Interview	Tul. 12 2010				
.` ′	w(s): July 12, 2018 serks				
•	ntative(s): Jeff Gregro, Bill Ke	im and Ryan Alena			
EPIS Representativ	·	iii, and Ryan Alena			
Description of Se	rvice:				
amily-focused and concehavior, emotional proceeding the horal aining, and cognitive and then assess how the cassessing. MST is nearticipating in other trainicipating in other trainicipatine or below IQ and IST is based on the that orderline or below IQ and IST is based on the that order training the process such as neighborset of treatment to enthe Berks Office of Committee and the process of the IST is based on the that order is a such as neighborset of treatment to enthe Berks Office of Committee and IST is based on the IST is based on th	d, comprehensive treatment prommunity-based treatment progroblems, truancy, academic prome environment and include state behavioral therapy. MST work in terretain went. The MST of intended to work in tandem reatment interventions. MST of actions or attempts, or who dispolare excluded as well. MST is neory that behavioral change in borhood, school, peers, and farm insure that the undesired behavioral change in community Solutions Inc. contate of approximately 10 network	gram that is developed blems as well as druct at a given the rategic family the rapers with the family at the rapist is constant with other services; does have exclusion lay psychotic behaviors not appropriate for anyouth is reached the raily. As a result, attentions are addressed.	d for yout ig and alco by, structu nd youth t tly assessi therefore, criteria; it fors. Yout youth whe rough the ention is gi	h who display chronic or shol use and abuse. Clinical family therapy, behave o develop interventions to the state of the s	violent delinquential interventions ioral parenting or impact behavior inting and then a typically not no currently have or who have a rimary behavior. ir ecological behavior at the
	istics of a service found to be the	e most strongly relate	d to reduc	ing recidivism:	
	Type: Family Counseling		X 7		
	analysis, is there a qualifying su		No		
	rvice Type? There is no qualifyi	• 11		ali C	20
was the supplemen	tal service provided? N/A			r this Service Type:	20
		al Points Received:		<b>Total Points Possible:</b>	
positive impact on re	ce: Research has shown that pro ecidivism reduction. Monitoring of w drift from service delivery is ad	of quality is defined by Idressed.	y existence	of written protocol, staff tra	aining, staff
	Tota	l Points Received:	20	Total Points Possible:	20

3. Amount of Service: Score was derived by calculating the to service. The amount of service is measured by the target amount SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service type has varying amounts of duration and contingentest impact on recidivism reduction.	nts of servic	e for the S	PEP <sup>TM</sup> service catego	orization. Each
Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: Points received for Contact Hours or Number of Hours:	8 6			
Total Points R	Received:	14	<b>Total Points Possib</b>	le: <u>20</u>
4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calcuthe total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffend				low risk, and
youth in the cohort are Moderate, High, Very High in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Lev			a total of youth	12 points points
Total Points	Received:	20	<b>Total Points Possi</b>	ble: <u>25</u>
Basic SPEPTM Score:74 total points received out of 100 poservice. (e.g. individual counseling compared to cognitive behave Note: Services with scores greater than or equal to 50 show the Program Optimization Percentage:88% This percentage research. (e.g. individual counseling compared to all other individual SPEPTM and Performance Improvement	vioral therap e service is l e compares	y, social s having a p the servic	kills training, mentori cositive impact on rec e to the same service	ing, etc.)  cidivism reduction.  e types found in the
The intended use of the SPEP <sup>TM</sup> is to optimize the effectiveness of for performance improvement are included in the service Feedback Performance Improvement Plan, a shared responsibility of the service.	Report, and	these reco	ommendations are the	focus of the
The Multisystemic Therapy (MST) program from Common an 88% Program Optimization Percentage. These scores initial SPEP <sup>TM</sup> scoring results. The program could continue through:	represent a	n increa	se from the Baseli	ne findings of the
1. Working with the Juvenile Probation Office to increase targeted duration and dosage.	the perce	ntage of	youth and familie	s reaching the
2. Identifying additional High Risk youth that could be re a. 1 additional High Risk youth would have achieved 2 b. 3 additional High Risk youth would have achieved a	2 additiona	ıl points.		

The Standa	ardized Prog	ram Ev	valuation	Proto	col (SPEPTM):	
	Results: Reassessme	-			PTM ID and Time: 0032-T	
Agency Name:	Community Solution	ns, Inc. (CSI)				
Program Name:	Community Solution	ns, Inc. (CSI)				
Service Name:	Multisystemic Thera	ipy (MST)				
Cohort Total:	32	2010 M 2	1 2010			
Referral County(s)	ected Cohort: June 1, Berks	2018 - May 3	1, 2019			
Data(a) af Internia	(c). D 1 16	2010				
Date(s) of Intervie Lead County: E	w(s): December 16	, 2019				
•	ntative(s): Ryan Aler	na and Jeff Gr	egro			
EPIS Representati			<b>-</b> 510			
Description of Se	rvice:					
display chronic or v and alcohol problem therapy, behavioral develop intervention constantly assessing behavioral change in neighborhood, school treatment in order to The Berks Office of Solutions Inc is an M	iolent delinquent be as. Clinical intervent parenting training a as to impact behavior, developing and im- anyouth is reached the ol, peers and family to ensure that the und Community Solution MST System Partner	chavior, emotions are defined cognitive or and then applementing through the plant desired behaviors.	otional problem livered in the he e behavioral the assess how the g and then reass process of address t, attention is g aviors are address attains 4 MST the	ns, truance ome and erapy. M intervent essing. M essing th iven to the essed.	am that is developed for y, academic problems include strategic and s ST works with the familians are working. The MST is based on the the eir ecological factors, seese "drivers" of behave and a Clinical Supervisional action of the supervisional strategies.	as well as drug structural family nily and youth to MST therapist is eory that such as vior at the onset of
	istics of a service found Type: Family Counseli		ost strongly relat	ed to redu	cing recidivism:	
	analysis, is there a qua	_	lemental service?	No		
	ervice Type? There is r					
Was the supplemen	ntal service provided?	N/A	Total Points	Possible f	for this Service Type:	20
		Total P	oints Received:	20	<b>Total Points Possible:</b>	35
positive impact on r		onitoring of q ivery is addre	uality is defined b ssed.	y existenc	high quality are more like of written protocol, staff	training, staff
		Total P	oints Received:	20	<b>Total Points Possible:</b>	20

service. The amount of service is measured by the target amou SPEP <sup>TM</sup> service type has varying amounts of duration and congreatest impact on recidivism reduction.				gorization.	Each
Points received for Duration or Number of Weeks: Points received for Contact Hours or Number of Hours:	8 6				
Total Points	Received:	14	Total Points Possi	ble:	20
4. Youth Risk Level: The risk level score is compiled by calculate total % of youth who score above moderate risk to reoffen  youth in the cohort are Moderate, High, Very High	d based on th	e results	of the YLS.		t, and
5 in the cohort are High or Very High YLS Risk Le			J		points
	verior a tota	1 01			points
Total Points		13	<b>Total Points Pos</b>		<u>25</u>
Basic SPEPTM Score: 67 total points received out of 100 per service. (e.g. individual counseling compared to cognitive behavior)	s Received: points. Compa	13 ares servi	ce to any other type of skills training, mento	sible:	25 therapeut
Total Points  Basic SPEP <sup>TM</sup> Score: 67 total points received out of 100 p	s Received: points. Compa	13 ares servi	ce to any other type of skills training, mento	sible:	25 therapeut

## and Performance Improvement

The intended use of the SPEP<sup>TM</sup> is to optimize the effectiveness of reducing recidivism among juvenile offenders. Recommendations for performance improvement are included in the service Feedback Report, and these recommendations are the focus of the Performance Improvement Plan, a shared responsibility of the service provider and the juvenile probation department.

Multisystemic Therapy received a 67 for the Basic Score and a 79% Program Optimization Percentage. These Basic Scores represent a decrease of 5 percentage point(s) from the initial SPEP<sup>TM</sup> assessment. These POP Scores represent a decrease of 6 percentage point(s) from the initial SPEP<sup>TM</sup> Assessment.

The service was classified as a Group 3 service; Family Counseling service type. There is no qualifying supplemental service found in the research. The Quality of Service Delivery was found to be at a high Level. For Amount of Service, 81% of the youth received the recommended targeted weeks of duration and 72% of the youth received the recommended targeted contact hours for this service type. The Risk Levels of Youth admitted to the service were: 9% low risk, 75% moderate risk, 16% high risk, and 0% very high risk. These scores represent a decrease from the Baseline findings of the first reassessment. The service could improve its capacity for recidivism reduction by addressing the following recommendations:

- 1. Continue working with Juvenile Probation Office to increase the percentage of youth and families reaching the targeted duration and dosage.
- 2. Identify additional High Youth that could be referred to the service.
  - a. 2 additional High Risk Youth would have achieved 2 additional points.
  - b. 3 additional High Risk Youth would have achieved 5 additional points.
- 3. Continue offering quarterly booster trainings to ensure staff are adhering to the most recent treatment models.
- 4. Continue monitoring staff through audio tapes and direct supervision to limit drift and ensure integrity.